# IN THE UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

SOCUP INC.,	
Plaintiff,	Case No.
v.	
INDIVIDUAL, PARTNERSHIP, OR UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION	
ON SCHEDULE "A,"	

Defendant.

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff SOCUP INC. ("Plaintiff"), by and through its counsel, hereby brings the present action against the individual, partnership or unincorporated association identified on Schedule A, attached hereto ("Defendant"), and alleges as follows:

### **I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, et seq., 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendant since the Defendant directly targets business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at least the fully interactive e-commerce store operating under the seller alias identified in Schedule A attached hereto (the "Seller Alias"). Specifically, Defendant has targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce store(s) that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Alias, offering shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accepting payment in

U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts, and, on information and belief, selling products using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiff's federally registered trademark to residents of Illinois. Defendant is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

## **II. INTRODUCTION**

3. This action has been filed by Plaintiff to combat an e-commerce store operator who trades upon Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill by offering for sale and/or selling unauthorized and unlicensed products, mainly including [REDACTED], using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiff's federally registered trademark (the "Counterfeit Products"). Defendant creates e-commerce stores operating under one or more Seller Alias that are advertising, offering for sale, and selling Counterfeit Products to unknowing consumers. Defendant attempts to avoid and mitigate liability by operating under one or more Seller Alias to conceal both their identity and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendant's counterfeiting of its federally registered trademark, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing Counterfeit Products over the Internet. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, and tarnishment of its valuable trademark as a result of Defendant's actions and seeks injunctive and monetary relief.

#### III. THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff SOCUP INC. is a United States corporation having its principal place of business at [REDACTED].
- 5. Plaintiff was assigned and owns United States trademark [REDACTED] (Reg. No. [REDACTED]) ("Plaintiff's Mark"), and Plaintiff's Mark has been continuously used in

commerce since [REDACTED]. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Mark Certificate and Assignment of Registration are attached as **Exhibit A**.

- 6. Plaintiff is well-known throughout the United States and elsewhere as a source of high-quality [REDACTED]. Plaintiff's Products are distributed and sold through authorized online retail platforms, mainly Amazon.
- 7. As a result of its long-standing use, Plaintiff also owns common law trademark rights in its [REDACTED] trademark. Plaintiff's Mark is also registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff's Products typically include at least Plaintiff's Mark. Plaintiff uses its trademark in connection with the marketing of Plaintiff's Products.
  - 8. Plaintiff's Mark registration information is listed below:

Registration Number	Trademark	Goods and Services
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

- 9. The above U.S. registration for the Plaintiff's Mark is valid, subsisting, and in full force and effect. The registration for Plaintiff's Mark constitutes *prima facie* evidence of its validity and of Plaintiff's exclusive right to use Plaintiff's Mark pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). Plaintiff's Mark has been used continuously by Plaintiff for a long duration, and has never been abandoned. Plaintiff's Mark is distinctive and identifies merchandise as goods from Plaintiff.
- 10. Plaintiff's Mark is distinctive when applied to the Plaintiff's Products, signifying to the purchaser that the products come from Plaintiff and are manufactured to Plaintiff's quality

standards. Plaintiff has ensured that products bearing Plaintiff's Mark are manufactured to the highest quality standards.

- 11. Plaintiff's Mark been continuously used and never abandoned. The innovative marketing and product designs of Plaintiff's Products have enabled the Plaintiff brand to achieve widespread recognition. The widespread recognition, outstanding reputation, and significant goodwill associated with the Plaintiff brand have made Plaintiff's Mark a valuable asset of Plaintiff.
- 12. Plaintiff has expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting Plaintiff's Mark. As a result, products bearing Plaintiff's Mark are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being high-quality products sourced from Plaintiff. Plaintiff's Mark has achieved tremendous recognition which has only added to the distinctiveness of Plaintiff's Mark. As such, the goodwill associated with Plaintiff's Mark is of incalculable and inestimable value to Plaintiff.
  - 13. Sales of Plaintiff's Products are significant.
- 14. Defendant is an individual or business entity of unknown makeup who owns and/or operates one or more e-commerce stores under at least the Seller Alias identified on Schedule A and/or other seller aliases not yet known to Plaintiff. On information and belief, Defendant resides and/or operates in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions with lax trademark enforcement systems, or redistributes products from the same or similar sources in those locations. Defendant has the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b).
- 15. On information and belief, Defendant, either individually or jointly, operates one or more e-commerce stores under the Seller Alias listed in Schedule A attached hereto. Tactics

used by Defendant to conceal their identity and the full scope of their operation make it virtually impossible for Plaintiff to learn Defendant's true identity and the exact interworking of their counterfeit network. If Defendant provides additional credible information regarding their identity, Plaintiff will take appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

## IV. DEFENDANT'S UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

- 16. According to FY 2021 Intellectual Property Right Seizure Statistics report by U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"), 51% of the total number of seizure lines originated from mainland China and Hong Kong. **Exhibit B**, FY 2021 Intellectual Property Right Seizure Statistics report.
- and confirmation of their identities, allowing infringers to "routinely use false or inaccurate names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce platforms." **Exhibit C**, Daniel C.K. Chow, *Alibaba, Amazon, and Counterfeiting in the Age of the Internet*, 40 NW. J. INT'L L. & BUS. 157, 186 (2020). "At least some e-commerce platforms, little identifying information is necessary for [an infringer] to begin selling" and recommending that "[s]ignificantly enhanced vetting of third-party sellers" is necessary." **Exhibit D**, *Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods* prepared by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans. Because these online platforms generally do not require a seller to identify the underlying business entity, infringers can have many different profiles that can appear unrelated even though they are commonly owned and operated. *Id.* at 39.
- 18. Plaintiff's success has resulted in significant counterfeiting of Plaintiff's Mark.

  Recently, Plaintiff has identified a fully interactive, e-commerce store offering Counterfeit

Products on the online marketplace platform Amazon. The Seller Alias targets consumers in this Judicial District and throughout the United States.

- 19. Third party service providers like those used by Defendant do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities, allowing counterfeiters to use false or inaccurate information when registering. Upon information and belief, Defendant has engaged in providing false or inaccurate information upon registering its respective Domain Name.
- 20. In addition to operating under one or more fictitious names, Defendant in this case and Defendants in other online counterfeiting cases use a variety of other methods to evade enforcement efforts including simply registering new online marketplace accounts once they receive notice of a lawsuit and operating multiple credit card merchant accounts to evade collection efforts by Plaintiff armed with enforceable judgments. Upon information and belief, Defendant maintains off-shore bank accounts and regularly moves funds from their online money accounts to off-shore bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 21. Defendant has targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating at least one e-commerce store that targets United States consumers using one or more Seller Alias, offering shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accepting payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts, and, on information and belief, selling Counterfeit Products to residents of Illinois.
- 22. Defendant concurrently employs and benefits from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies. For example, Defendant facilitates sales by designing the ecommerce stores operating under the Seller Alias so that it appears to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet stores, or wholesalers. The e-commerce store operating

under the Seller Alias looks sophisticated and accepts payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts via credit cards, Alipay, Amazon Pay, and/or PayPal. The e-commerce store operating under the Seller Alias often includes content and images that make it very difficult for consumers to distinguish such store from an authorized retailer.

- 23. Plaintiff has not licensed or authorized Defendant to use Plaintiff's Mark, and Defendant is not an authorized retailer of genuine Plaintiff's Products.
- 24. Defendant's use of Plaintiff's Mark in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products, including the sale of Counterfeit Products into Illinois, is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

#### **COUNT I**

# TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

- 25. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 26. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendant based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the federally registered [REDACTED] trademark in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. Plaintiff's Mark is distinctive. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from Plaintiff's products offered, sold, or marketed under Plaintiff's Mark.
- 27. Defendant has sold, offered to sell, marketed, distributed, and advertised, and is still selling, offering to sell, marketing, distributing, and advertising products using counterfeit reproductions of Plaintiff's Mark without Plaintiff's permission.

- 28. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner of Plaintiff's Mark. The United States Registration for Plaintiff's Mark is in full force and effect. On information and belief, Defendant has knowledge of Plaintiff's rights in Plaintiff's Mark and are willfully infringing and intentionally using counterfeits of Plaintiff's Mark. Defendant's willful, intentional, and unauthorized use of Plaintiff's Mark is likely to cause and is causing confusion, mistake, and deception as to the origin and quality of the Counterfeit Products among the general public.
- 29. Defendant's activities constitute willful trademark infringement and counterfeiting under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 30. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and if Defendant's actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill associated with Plaintiff's Mark.
- 31. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff have been directly and proximately caused by Defendant's wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of Counterfeit Products.

#### **COUNT II**

# FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 32. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 33. Defendant's promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the general public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendant's Counterfeit Products by Plaintiff.

- 34. By using Plaintiff's Mark in connection with the sale of Counterfeit Products,

  Defendant creates a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the

  origin and sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products.
- 35. Defendant's false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products to the general public involves the use of counterfeit marks and is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.
- 36. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendant's actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of its brand.

#### **COUNT III**

# VIOLATION OF ILLINOIS UNIFORM DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT (815 ILCS § 510, et seq.)

- 37. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 38. Defendant has engaged in acts violating Illinois law including, but not limited to, passing off their Counterfeit Products as those of Plaintiff, causing a likelihood of confusion and/or misunderstanding as to the source of their goods, causing a likelihood of confusion and/or misunderstanding as to an affiliation, connection, or association with genuine Plaintiff's Mark products, representing that their products have Plaintiff's approval when they do not, and engaging in other conduct which creates a likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding among the public.
- 39. The foregoing Defendant's acts constitute a willful violation of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 815 ILCS § 510, et seq.

40. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and Defendant's conduct has caused Plaintiff to suffer damage to its reputation and goodwill. Unless enjoined by the Court, Plaintiff will suffer future irreparable harm as a direct result of Defendant's unlawful activities.

# JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- That Defendant, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:
  - a. using Plaintiff's Mark or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable
    imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution,
    marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a
    genuine Plaintiff's Mark product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in
    connection with Plaintiff's Mark;
  - b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a
    genuine Plaintiff's Mark product or any other product produced by Plaintiff
    that is not Plaintiff's or not produced under the authorization, control, or
    supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under Plaintiff's
    Mark;

- c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendant's
   Counterfeit Products are those sold under the authorization, control or
   supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise
   connected with Plaintiff;
- d. further infringing Plaintiff's Mark and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill;
- e. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear Plaintiff's Mark, or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof; and
- f. using, linking to, transferring, selling, exercising control over, or otherwise owning the Online Marketplace Accounts or websites, or any other online marketplace account or website that is being used to sell or is the means by which Defendant could continue to sell Counterfeit Products;
- 2. That Defendant, within fourteen (14) days after service of judgment with notice of entry thereof upon them, be required to file with the Court and serve upon Plaintiff a written report under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendant has complied with paragraph 1, a through f, above;
- 3. Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's choosing, the registrant of the Domain Name shall be changed from the current registrant to Plaintiff, and that the domain name registries for the Domain Names, including, but not limited to, VeriSign, Inc., Registry Services, LLC, Afilias Limited, CentralNic, Nominet, and the Public Interest

Registry, shall unlock and change the registrar of record for the Domain Name to a registrar of Plaintiff's selection, and that the domain name registrars, including, but not limited to, GoDaddy Operating Company, LLC ("GoDaddy"), Name.com, PDR LTD. d/b/a PublicDomainRegistry.com ("PDR"), and Namecheap Inc. ("Namecheap"), shall take any steps necessary to transfer the Domain Name to a registrar account of Plaintiff's selection; or that the same domain name registries shall disable the Domain Name and make them inactive and untransferable;

- 4. Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those with notice of the injunction, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba, Amazon, Wish.com, Walmart, Etsy, Temu, and DHgate (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") shall disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendant in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using Plaintiff's Mark;
- 5. That Defendant account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendant by reason of Defendant's unlawful acts herein alleged, and that the amount of damages for infringement of Plaintiff's Mark be increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- 6. In the alternative, that Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages for willful trademark counterfeiting pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of \$2,000,000 for each and every use of Plaintiff's Mark;
- 7. That Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 8. Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: March 29, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ge (Linda) Lei

Ge (Linda) Lei 203 N. LaSalle St., Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60601 Attorney No. 6313341 Linda.lei@getechlaw.com 312-888-6633

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF