## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MERCH TRAFFIC, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE PARTNERSHIPS and UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE "A",

Defendants.

Case No. 24-cv-03037

# COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Merch Traffic, LLC ("Merch Traffic" or "Plaintiff") hereby brings the present action against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

### I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly targets business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at least the fully interactive e-commerce stores<sup>1</sup> operating under the seller aliases identified in Schedule A attached hereto (the "Seller Aliases"). Specifically, Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The e-commerce store URLs are listed on Schedule A hereto under the Online Marketplaces.

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 2 of 14 PageID #:2

consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts and, on information and belief, have sold products using infringing and counterfeit versions of a trademark licensed by Plaintiff to residents of Illinois. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

#### **II. INTRODUCTION**

3. This action has been filed by Plaintiff to combat e-commerce store operators who trade upon Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill by offering for sale and/or selling unauthorized and unlicensed products, including apparel and other merchandise, using infringing and counterfeit versions of the trademark licensed by Plaintiff (the "Counterfeit Products"). Defendants create e-commerce stores operating under one or more Seller Aliases that are advertising, offering for sale, and selling Counterfeit Products to unknowing consumers. Ecommerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases share unique identifiers establishing a logical relationship between them and that Defendants' counterfeiting operation arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid and mitigate liability by operating under one or more Seller Aliases to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' counterfeiting, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing Counterfeit Products over the Internet. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, and tarnishment of its licensed trademark as a result of Defendants' actions and seeks injunctive and monetary relief.

### **III. THE PARTIES**

### Plaintiff

4. Plaintiff Merch Traffic, LLC is a Delaware company with its headquarters in New York, New York. Plaintiff operates as a merchandiser, merchandise license agent, and intellectual property enforcement agent with regards to infringing merchandise for the musical performer Hozier. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee for Hozier branded merchandise in the United States.

5. Hozier (the professional name of Andrew John Hozier-Byrne) is an Irish musician, singer and songwriter whose music draws from folk, soul, and blues influences and often evokes literary and religious themes. Much of Hozier's work directly references topical events from a socially conscious perspective. Hozier rose to fame with the release of his debut single "Take Me to Church" in 2013 which peaked at number two on the Billboard Hot 100 chart, was certified multi-platinum in several countries, and was nominated for Song of the Year at the 57th Annual Grammy Awards. Hozier has since released three studio albums and twenty-one singles (in addition to "Take Me to Church").

6. Products sold under the Hozier brand include clothing and various accessories. Hozier branded products are distributed and sold to consumers throughout the United States, including in Illinois, through various affiliates, exclusive merchandise pop-up shops, and through the usstore.hozier.com webstore.

7. As a result of long-standing use, there are common law trademark rights in the HOZIER trademark. The HOZIER trademark is registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of Hozier branded merchandise in the

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 4 of 14 PageID #:4

United States and is authorized by Haskey Limited<sup>2</sup> to enforce the rights in its trademarks, including the following mark referred to as the "HOZIER Trademark."

REGISTRATION	REGISTERED
NUMBER	TRADEMARK
4,911,329	HOZIER

8. The above U.S. registration for the HOZIER Trademark is valid, subsisting, in full force and effect, and is incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The registration for the HOZIER Trademark constitutes *prima facie* evidence of its validity and of Plaintiff's exclusive right to use the HOZIER Trademark pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). Incontestable status under 15 U.S.C. § 1065 provides that the registration for the HOZIER Trademark is conclusive evidence of the validity of the HOZIER Trademark and of the registration of the HOZIER Trademark, of Haskey Limited's ownership of the HOZIER Trademark, and of Haskey Limited's exclusive right to use the HOZIER Trademark in commerce. 15 U.S.C. § 1115(b), 1065. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 1</u> is a true and correct copy of the United States Registration Certificate for the HOZIER Trademark included in the above table.

9. The HOZIER Trademark is displayed extensively on Hozier products and in marketing and promotional materials. The Hozier brand has been extensively promoted and advertised at great expense. In fact, Plaintiff, or third parties on Plaintiff's and Hozier's behalf, have expended millions of dollars in advertising, promoting, and marketing featuring the HOZIER Trademark, as well as significant time and other resources. As a result, products bearing the HOZIER Trademark are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being products sourced from Plaintiff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Haskey Limited is the owner of the HOZIER Trademark.

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 5 of 14 PageID #:5

10. The HOZIER Trademark is distinctive when applied to the Hozier products, signifying to the purchaser that the products come from Plaintiff and are manufactured to Plaintiff's quality standards. The HOZIER Trademark has achieved tremendous fame and recognition, which has only added to the distinctiveness of the mark. As such, the goodwill associated with the HOZIER Trademark is of incalculable and inestimable value to Plaintiff.

11. For years, Hozier (in partnership with Plaintiff) has operated e-commerce webstores where he promotes and sells genuine Hozier products at usstore.hozier.com, including apparel and other merchandise. The usstore.hozier.com webstore features proprietary content, images, and designs exclusive to Hozier and Plaintiff.

12. Plaintiff's innovative marketing and product designs, combined with the immense popularity of Hozier, have made the HOZIER Trademark a famous mark. The widespread fame, outstanding reputation, and significant goodwill associated with the Hozier brand have made the HOZIER Trademark an invaluable asset of Plaintiff.

#### **The Defendants**

13. Defendants are individuals and business entities of unknown makeup who own and/or operate one or more of the e-commerce stores under at least the Seller Aliases identified on Schedule A and/or other seller aliases not yet known to Plaintiff. On information and belief, Defendants reside and/or operate in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions with lax trademark enforcement systems, or redistribute products from the same or similar sources in those locations. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b).

14. On information and belief, Defendants, either individually or jointly, operate one or more e-commerce stores under the Seller Aliases listed in Schedule A attached hereto. Tactics

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 6 of 14 PageID #:6

used by Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope of their operation make it virtually impossible for Plaintiff to learn Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking of their counterfeit network. If Defendants provide additional credible information regarding their identities, Plaintiff will take appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

## IV. DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

15. The success of the Hozier brand has resulted in significant counterfeiting of the HOZIER Trademark. Consequently, Plaintiff has an anti-counterfeiting program and regularly investigates suspicious e-commerce stores identified in proactive Internet sweeps and reported by consumers. In recent years, Plaintiff has identified many fully interactive, e-commerce stores offering Counterfeit Products on online marketplace platforms such as Amazon, eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba, Wish.com, Walmart, Etsy, DHgate, and Temu, including the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases. The Seller Aliases target consumers in this Judicial District and throughout the United States. According to a U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") report, in 2021, CBP made over 27,000 seizures of goods with intellectual property rights ("IPR") violations totaling over \$3.3 billion, an increase of \$2.0 billion from 2020. *Intellectual Property Rights Seizure Statistics, Fiscal Year 2021*, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (**Exhibit 2**). Of the 27,000 in total IPR seizures, over 24,000 came through international mail and express courier services (as opposed to containers), most of which originated from China and Hong Kong. *Id.* 

16. Third party service providers like those used by Defendants do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities, allowing counterfeiters to "routinely use false or inaccurate names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce platforms." **Exhibit 3**, Daniel C.K. Chow, *Alibaba, Amazon, and Counterfeiting in the Age of the* 

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 7 of 14 PageID #:7

*Internet*, 40 Nw. J. INT'L L. & BUS. 157, 186 (2020); *see also* report on "Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods" prepared by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans (Jan. 24, 2020), attached as **Exhibit 4**, and finding that on "at least some e-commerce platforms, little identifying information is necessary for a counterfeiter to begin selling" and recommending that "[s]ignificantly enhanced vetting of third-party sellers" is necessary. Counterfeiters hedge against the risk of being caught and having their websites taken down from an e-commerce platforms generally do not require a seller on a third-party marketplace to identify the underlying business entity, counterfeiters can have many different profiles that can appear unrelated even though they are commonly owned and operated. **Exhibit 4** at p. 39. Further, "E-commerce platforms create bureaucratic or technical hurdles in helping brand owners to locate or identify sources of counterfeites and counterfeiters." **Exhibit 3** at 186-87.

17. Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating ecommerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts, and, on information and belief, have sold Counterfeit Products to residents of Illinois.

18. Defendants concurrently employ and benefit from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies. For example, Defendants facilitate sales by designing the ecommerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet stores, or wholesalers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases appear sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 8 of 14 PageID #:8

from U.S. bank accounts via credit cards, Alipay, Amazon Pay, and/or PayPal. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often include content and images that make it very difficult for consumers to distinguish such stores from an authorized retailer. Plaintiff has not licensed or authorized Defendants to use the HOZIER Trademark, and none of the Defendants are authorized retailers of genuine Hozier products.

19. Many Defendants also deceive unknowing consumers by using the HOZIER Trademark without authorization within the content, text, and/or meta tags of their e-commerce stores to attract various search engines crawling the Internet looking for e-commerce stores relevant to consumer searches for Hozier products. Other e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases omit using the HOZIER Trademark in the item title to evade enforcement efforts while using strategic item titles and descriptions that will trigger their listings when consumers are searching for Hozier products.

20. E-commerce store operators like Defendants commonly engage in fraudulent conduct when registering the Seller Aliases by providing false, misleading and/or incomplete information to e-commerce platforms to prevent discovery of their true identities and the scope of their e-commerce operation.

21. E-commerce store operators like Defendants regularly register or acquire new seller aliases for the purpose of offering for sale and selling Counterfeit Products. Such seller alias registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by e-commerce store operators like Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation, and to avoid being shut down.

22. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious aliases, the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often share unique identifiers, such as templates with

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 9 of 14 PageID #:9

common design elements that intentionally omit any contact information or other information for identifying Defendants or other Seller Aliases they operate or use. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases include other notable common features such as use of the same registration patterns, accepted payment methods, check-out methods, keywords, advertising tactics, similarities in price and quantities, the same incorrect grammar and misspellings, and/or the use of the same text and images. Additionally, Counterfeit Products for sale by the Seller Aliases bear similar irregularities and indicia of being counterfeit to one another, suggesting that the Counterfeit Products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that Defendants are interrelated.

23. E-commerce store operators like Defendants are in constant communication with each other and regularly participate in QQ.com chat rooms and through websites such as sellerdefense.cn and kuajingvs.com regarding tactics for operating multiple accounts, evading detection, pending litigation, and potential new lawsuits.

24. Counterfeiters such as Defendants typically operate multiple seller aliases and payment accounts so that they can continue operation in spite of Plaintiff's enforcement. Ecommerce store operators like Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court to avoid payment of any monetary judgment awarded to Plaintiff. Indeed, analysis of financial account transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that off-shore counterfeiters regularly move funds from U.S.-based financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

25. Defendants are working in active concert to knowingly and wilfully manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, and sell Counterfeit Products in the same transaction,

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 10 of 14 PageID #:10

occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, have jointly and severally, knowingly and wilfully used and continue to use the HOZIER Trademark in connection with the advertisement, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products into the United States and Illinois over the Internet.

26. Defendants' unauthorized use of the HOZIER Trademark in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products, including the sale of Counterfeit Products into the United States, including Illinois, is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

# COUNT I TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

27. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

28. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the federally registered HOZIER Trademark in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. The HOZIER Trademark is a highly distinctive mark. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from products sold or marketed under the HOZIER Trademark.

29. Defendants have sold, offered to sell, marketed, distributed, and advertised, and are still selling, offering to sell, marketing, distributing, and advertising products using counterfeit reproductions of the HOZIER Trademark without Plaintiff's permission.

30. Plaintiff is the exclusive United States licensee of merchandise featuring the HOZIER Trademark. The United States Registration for the HOZIER Trademark (<u>Exhibit 1</u>) is in full force and effect. On information and belief, Defendants have knowledge of Plaintiff's rights in the HOZIER Trademark, and are willfully infringing and intentionally using

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 11 of 14 PageID #:11

counterfeits of the HOZIER Trademark. Defendants' willful, intentional, and unauthorized use of the HOZIER Trademark is likely to cause and is causing confusion, mistake, and deception as to the origin and quality of the Counterfeit Products among the general public.

31. Defendants' activities constitute willful trademark infringement and counterfeiting under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

32. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of the well-known HOZIER Trademark.

33. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of Counterfeit Products.

## COUNT II FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

34. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

35. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the general public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' Counterfeit Products by Plaintiff.

36. By using the HOZIER Trademark in connection with the sale of Counterfeit Products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products.

### Case: 1:24-cv-03037 Document #: 1 Filed: 04/15/24 Page 12 of 14 PageID #:12

37. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products to the general public involves the use of counterfeit marks and is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

38. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of the HOZIER Trademark and brand.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:
  - using the HOZIER Trademark or any reproductions, counterfeit copies or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Hozier product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the HOZIER Trademark;
  - b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine Hozier product or any other product produced by Plaintiff, that is not Plaintiff's or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under the HOZIER Trademark;
  - c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' Counterfeit Products are those sold under the authorization, control or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff;

- d. further infringing the HOZIER Trademark and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill; and
- e. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear any of Plaintiff's trademarks, including the HOZIER Trademark, or any reproductions, counterfeit copies or colorable imitations thereof;
- 2) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those with notice of the injunction, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba, Amazon, Wish.com, Walmart, Etsy, DHgate, and Temu (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") shall disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using the HOZIER Trademark;
- 3) That Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged, and that the amount of damages for infringement of the HOZIER Trademark be increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- In the alternative, that Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages for willful trademark counterfeiting pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of \$2,000,000 for each and every use of the HOZIER Trademark;
- 5) That Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 6) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 15<sup>th</sup> day of April 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Justin R. Gaudio

Amy C. Ziegler Justin R. Gaudio Kahlia R. Halpern Justin T. Joseph Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd. 300 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60606 312.360.0080 312.360.9315 (facsimile) aziegler@gbc.law jgaudio@gbc.law khalpern@gbc.law

Counsel for Plaintiff Merch Traffic, LLC