

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

MERCH TRAFFIC, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE PARTNERSHIPS and
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS
IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE “A”,

Defendants.

Case No. 24-cv-09883

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Merch Traffic, LLC (“Merch Traffic” or “Plaintiff”) hereby brings the present action against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, “Defendants”) and alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly targets business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at least the fully interactive e-commerce stores¹ operating under the seller aliases identified in Schedule A attached hereto (the “Seller Aliases”). Specifically, Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States

¹ The e-commerce store URLs are listed on Schedule A hereto under the Online Marketplaces.

consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts and, on information and belief, have sold products using infringing and counterfeit versions of trademarks licensed by Plaintiff to residents of Illinois. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

II. INTRODUCTION

3. This action has been filed by Plaintiff to combat e-commerce store operators who trade upon Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill by offering for sale and/or selling unauthorized and unlicensed products, including apparel and other merchandise, using infringing and counterfeit versions of trademarks licensed by Plaintiff (the "Counterfeit Products"). Defendants create e-commerce stores operating under one or more Seller Aliases that are advertising, offering for sale, and selling Counterfeit Products to unknowing consumers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases share unique identifiers establishing a logical relationship between them and that Defendants' counterfeiting operation arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid and mitigate liability by operating under one or more Seller Aliases to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' counterfeiting, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing Counterfeit Products over the Internet. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, and tarnishment of its licensed trademarks as a result of Defendants' actions and seeks injunctive and monetary relief.

III. THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

4. Plaintiff Merch Traffic, LLC is a Delaware company with its headquarters in New York, New York. Plaintiff operates as a merchandiser, merchandise license agent, and intellectual property enforcement agent with regards to infringing merchandise for the band the Smashing Pumpkins. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee for Smashing Pumpkins branded merchandise in the United States.

5. The Smashing Pumpkins is an iconic alternative rock band from Chicago, Illinois. Formed in 1988, the band was originally comprised of frontman and guitarist William Partick Corgan, Jr. (known professionally as Billy Corgan), guitarist James Iha, bassist D'ary Wretzky, and drummer James Joseph Chamberlin (known professionally as Jimmy Chamberlin). In 1991, the Smashing Pumpkins released its first album "*Gish*" which became the best-selling indie record in rock history in the early 1990s. Over three decades later, the band's latest album, *Aghori Mhori Mei*, was released on August 2, 2024.

6. Since its first album, the band has released thirteen studio albums, four live albums, a digital live album series, seven compilation albums, five extended plays ("EPs"), fifty-five singles, and have made contributions to five soundtracks albums. The band's mainstream success was solidified with its 1993 second album, *Siamese Dream*, which went four-times multi-platinum and its 1995 ten-time multi-platinum album *Mellon Collie and the Infinite Sadness*. To date, the band has sold over thirty million albums.

7. The band's discography has earned the Smashing Pumpkins recognition and accolades. Specifically, its album *Siamese Dream* peaked at number ten on the Billboard 200 chart and has been regarded as one of the greatest albums of the alternative rock genre. Likewise,

its album *Mellon Collie and the Infinite Sadness* debuted at number one on the Billboard 200 and garnered seven Grammy Award nominations in 1997, including Album of the Year. Over the years, the band has won several awards, including two Grammy Awards for Best Hard Rock Performance in 1997 and 1998, and the American Music Award for Favorite Alternative Artist in 1997.

8. Products sold under the Smashing Pumpkins brand include clothing and various accessories. Smashing Pumpkins branded products are distributed and sold to consumers throughout the United States, including in Illinois, through the official smashingpumpkins.com webstore, as well as various affiliates.

9. As a result of long-standing use, there are common law rights in the Smashing Pumpkins trademarks. The Smashing Pumpkins trademarks are registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of Smashing Pumpkins branded merchandise in the United States and is authorized by Mr. Corgan to enforce his rights in the Smashing Pumpkins trademarks, including the following marks which are collectively referred to as the “SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks.”

Registration No.	Trademark
1,900,492 4,301,292	SMASHING PUMPKINS

10. The above U.S. registrations for the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks are valid, subsisting, in full force and effect, and are incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The registrations for the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks constitute *prima facie* evidence of their validity and of the exclusive right to use the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). Incontestable status under 15 U.S.C. § 1065 provides that the

registrations for the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks are conclusive evidence of the validity of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks and of the registrations of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks, of the ownership of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks, and of the exclusive right to use the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks in commerce. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1115(b), 1065. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** are true and correct copies of the United States Registration Certificates for the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks included in the above table.

11. The SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks are displayed extensively on Smashing Pumpkins products and in marketing and promotional materials. The Smashing Pumpkins brand has been promoted and advertised at great expense including millions of dollars in advertising, promoting, and marketing featuring the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks, as well as significant time and other resources. As a result, products bearing the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being products sourced from Plaintiff.

12. The SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks are distinctive when applied to the Smashing Pumpkins products, signifying to the purchaser that the products come from Plaintiff and are manufactured to Plaintiff's quality standards. The SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks have achieved tremendous fame and recognition, which has only added to the distinctiveness of the marks. As such, the goodwill associated with the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks is of incalculable and inestimable value to Plaintiff.

13. For years, the Smashing Pumpkins (in partnership with Plaintiff) has operated an e-commerce webstore where it promotes and sells genuine Smashing Pumpkins products at smashingpumpkins.com, including apparel and other merchandise. The smashingpumpkins.com

webstore features proprietary content, images, and designs exclusive to the Smashing Pumpkins and Plaintiff.

14. Plaintiff's innovative marketing and product designs, combined with the immense popularity of the Smashing Pumpkins, have made the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks famous marks. The widespread fame, outstanding reputation, and significant goodwill associated with the Smashing Pumpkins brand have made the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks invaluable assets of Plaintiff.

The Defendants

15. Defendants are individuals and business entities of unknown makeup who own and/or operate one or more of the e-commerce stores under at least the Seller Aliases identified on Schedule A and/or other seller aliases not yet known to Plaintiff. On information and belief, Defendants reside and/or operate in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions with lax trademark enforcement systems, or redistribute products from the same or similar sources in those locations. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b).

16. On information and belief, Defendants, either individually or jointly, operate one or more e-commerce stores under the Seller Aliases listed in Schedule A attached hereto. Tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope of their operation make it virtually impossible for Plaintiff to learn Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking of their counterfeit network. If Defendants provide additional credible information regarding their identities, Plaintiff will take appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

IV. DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

17. The success of the Smashing Pumpkins brand has resulted in significant counterfeiting of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks. Consequently, Plaintiff has an anti-counterfeiting program and regularly investigates suspicious e-commerce stores identified in proactive Internet sweeps and reported by consumers. In recent years, Plaintiff has identified many fully interactive, e-commerce stores offering Counterfeit Products on online marketplace platforms such as Amazon, eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba, Wish.com, Walmart, Etsy, DHgate, Temu, and TikTok, including the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases. The Seller Aliases target consumers in this Judicial District and throughout the United States. According to a U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) report, in 2021, CBP made over 27,000 seizures of goods with intellectual property rights (“IPR”) violations totaling over \$3.3 billion, an increase of \$2.0 billion from 2020. *Intellectual Property Rights Seizure Statistics, Fiscal Year 2021*, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (**Exhibit 2**). Of the 27,000 in total IPR seizures, over 24,000 came through international mail and express courier services (as opposed to containers), most of which originated from China and Hong Kong. *Id.*

18. Third party service providers like those used by Defendants do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities, allowing counterfeiters to “routinely use false or inaccurate names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce platforms.” **Exhibit 3**, Daniel C.K. Chow, *Alibaba, Amazon, and Counterfeiting in the Age of the Internet*, 40 NW. J. INT’L L. & BUS. 157, 186 (2020); *see also* report on “Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods” prepared by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security’s Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans (Jan. 24, 2020), attached as **Exhibit 4**, and finding that on “at least some e-commerce platforms, little identifying information is necessary for a

counterfeiter to begin selling” and recommending that “[s]ignificantly enhanced vetting of third-party sellers” is necessary. Counterfeiters hedge against the risk of being caught and having their websites taken down from an e-commerce platform by preemptively establishing multiple virtual store-fronts. **Exhibit 4** at p. 22. Since platforms generally do not require a seller on a third-party marketplace to identify the underlying business entity, counterfeiters can have many different profiles that can appear unrelated even though they are commonly owned and operated. **Exhibit 4** at p. 39. Further, “E-commerce platforms create bureaucratic or technical hurdles in helping brand owners to locate or identify sources of counterfeits and counterfeiters.” **Exhibit 3** at 186-87.

19. Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts, and, on information and belief, have sold Counterfeit Products to residents of Illinois.

20. Defendants concurrently employ and benefit from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies. For example, Defendants facilitate sales by designing the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet stores, or wholesalers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases appear sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts via credit cards, Alipay, Amazon Pay, and/or PayPal. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often include content and images that make it very difficult for consumers to distinguish such stores from an authorized retailer. Plaintiff has not

licensed or authorized Defendants to use any of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks, and none of the Defendants are authorized retailers of genuine Smashing Pumpkins products.

21. Many Defendants also deceive unknowing consumers by using the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks without authorization within the content, text, and/or meta tags of their e-commerce stores to attract various search engines crawling the Internet looking for e-commerce stores relevant to consumer searches for Smashing Pumpkins products. Other e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases omit using the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks in the item title to evade enforcement efforts while using strategic item titles and descriptions that will trigger their listings when consumers are searching for Smashing Pumpkins products.

22. E-commerce store operators like Defendants commonly engage in fraudulent conduct when registering the Seller Aliases by providing false, misleading and/or incomplete information to e-commerce platforms to prevent discovery of their true identities and the scope of their e-commerce operation.

23. E-commerce store operators like Defendants regularly register or acquire new seller aliases for the purpose of offering for sale and selling Counterfeit Products. Such seller alias registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by e-commerce store operators like Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation, and to avoid being shut down.

24. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious aliases, the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often share unique identifiers, such as templates with common design elements that intentionally omit any contact information or other information for identifying Defendants or other Seller Aliases they operate or use. E-commerce stores operating

under the Seller Aliases include other notable common features such as use of the same registration patterns, accepted payment methods, check-out methods, keywords, advertising tactics, similarities in price and quantities, the same incorrect grammar and misspellings, and/or the use of the same text and images. Additionally, Counterfeit Products for sale by the Seller Aliases bear similar irregularities and indicia of being counterfeit to one another, suggesting that the Counterfeit Products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that Defendants are interrelated.

25. E-commerce store operators like Defendants are in constant communication with each other and regularly participate in QQ.com chat rooms and through websites such as sellerdefense.cn and kuajingvs.com regarding tactics for operating multiple accounts, evading detection, pending litigation, and potential new lawsuits.

26. Counterfeiters such as Defendants typically operate multiple seller aliases and payment accounts so that they can continue operation in spite of Plaintiff's enforcement. E-commerce store operators like Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court to avoid payment of any monetary judgment awarded to Plaintiff. Indeed, analysis of financial account transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that off-shore counterfeiters regularly move funds from U.S.-based financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

27. Defendants are working in active concert to knowingly and wilfully manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, and sell Counterfeit Products in the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, have jointly and severally, knowingly and wilfully used and continue to

use the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks in connection with the advertisement, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products into the United States and Illinois over the Internet.

28. Defendants' unauthorized use of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products, including the sale of Counterfeit Products into the United States, including Illinois, is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

COUNT I
TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

29. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

30. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the federally registered SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. The SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks are highly distinctive marks. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from products sold or marketed under the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks.

31. Defendants have sold, offered to sell, marketed, distributed, and advertised, and are still selling, offering to sell, marketing, distributing, and advertising products using counterfeit reproductions of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks without Plaintiff's permission.

32. Plaintiff is the exclusive United States licensee of merchandise featuring the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks. The United States Registrations for the SMASHING

PUMPKINS Trademarks (**Exhibit 1**) are in full force and effect. On information and belief, Defendants have knowledge of Plaintiff's rights in the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks, and are willfully infringing and intentionally using counterfeits of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks. Defendants' willful, intentional, and unauthorized use of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks is likely to cause and is causing confusion, mistake, and deception as to the origin and quality of the Counterfeit Products among the general public.

33. Defendants' activities constitute willful trademark infringement and counterfeiting under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

34. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of the well-known SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks.

35. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of Counterfeit Products.

COUNT II
FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

36. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

37. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the general public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' Counterfeit Products by Plaintiff.

38. By using the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks in connection with the sale of Counterfeit Products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products.

39. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products to the general public involves the use of counterfeit marks and is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

40. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks and brand.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - a. using the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks or any reproductions, counterfeit copies or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Smashing Pumpkins product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks;
 - b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine Smashing Pumpkins product or any other product produced by Plaintiff, that is not Plaintiff's or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks;

- c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' Counterfeit Products are those sold under the authorization, control or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff;
 - d. further infringing the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill; and
 - e. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear any of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks or any reproductions, counterfeit copies or colorable imitations thereof;
- 2) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those with notice of the injunction, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba, Amazon, Wish.com, Walmart, Etsy, DHgate, Temu, and TikTok (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") shall disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks;
- 3) That Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged, and that the amount of damages for infringement of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks be increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- 4) In the alternative, that Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages for willful trademark counterfeiting pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of \$2,000,000 for each and every use of the SMASHING PUMPKINS Trademarks;

- 5) That Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 6) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 10th day of October 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Justin R. Gaudio
Amy C. Ziegler
Justin R. Gaudio
Kahlia R. Halpern
Luana Faria de Souza
Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd.
200 W. Madison St., Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
312.360.0080 / 312.360.9315 (facsimile)
aziegler@gbc.law
jgaudio@gbc.law
khalpern@gbc.law
lfaria@gbc.law

Counsel for Plaintiff Merch Traffic, LLC